

**PATENT APPLICATION**

5                   **INFORMATION SECURITY VIA DYNAMIC ENCRYPTION WITH HASH FUNCTION**

**CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS**

          This application is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/387,711, entitled "Computer System Security via Dynamic Encryption," filed on March 13, 2003, and claims  
10   the benefit of the filing date thereof. This application is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/448,989, entitled "Dynamic Security Authentication for Wireless Communication Networks," filed on May 30, 2003, and claims the benefit of the filing date thereof. This application is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/633,918, entitled "Computer System Security Via Dynamic Encryption," filed on August 4, 2003, and claims the  
15   benefit of the filing date thereof. The entire specifications of all priority applications are incorporated herein by reference.

**BACKGROUND**

**Field of the Invention:**

20           The present invention relates to the field of information security, more particularly to a dynamic data encryption and node authentication method and system that distributes the complexity of the encryption algorithm over the dynamics of data exchange and involves full synchronization of encryption key regeneration at system nodes, independent of the node clocks.

25           **Background:**

          The fundamental objective of cryptography is to enable users to communicate securely and efficiently via an insecure shared data communication channel or system environment, maintaining data integrity, privacy, and user authentication. Over the past century, various cryptography systems  
30   have been developed which require a great deal of time to break even with large computational

power. However, if an intruder obtains the encryption key, the encryption mechanism is compromised and a new key is required.

In order to make an encryption system nearly impenetrable to an intruder, two strategies are commonly used: 1) a long encryption key, and/or 2) a complex encryption function. A key of length  $n$  bits has a  $2^n$  search space. Therefore, for large values of  $n$  an intruder needs to spend more than a lifetime to break the cipher. Also, simpler encryption functions provide a less secure encryption system. For instance, an encryption code that applies the logic XOR function is easy to decipher no matter how long the key length is. This is because the XOR operation is performed on one bit of data and its corresponding bit from the encryption key, one bit at a time. The deciphering approach of such simple encryption functions by an intruder is based on the divide-and-conquer mechanism. The intruder first deciphers individual key fragments, which is relatively uncomplicated to accomplish due to the simple linearity of the XOR function, then reconstructs the entire key once all of the individual fragments are obtained. It is more difficult to apply such a divide-and-conquer approach to break the key of a nonlinear exponential encryption function, such as used in the Rivest-Shamir-Adelman (RSA) system.

At present, there are two major cryptography system philosophies: 1) symmetric systems (static or semi-dynamic key), and 2) public key systems (static key). In symmetric systems, e.g., DES, AES, etc., a key is exchanged between the users, the sender and receiver, and is used to encrypt and decrypt the data. There are three major problems with symmetric systems. First, exchanging the key between users introduces a security loophole. In order to alleviate such a problem, the exchanged key is encrypted via a secure public key cryptography system. Second, the use of only one static encryption key makes it easier for an intruder to have an ample amount of time to break the key. This issue is addressed by the use of multiple session keys that are exchanged periodically. Third, and more importantly is the susceptibility to an "insider" attack on the key. This is referred to as the "super user" spying on the "setting duck" static key inside the system, where the time window between exchanging keys might be long enough for a super user, who has a super user privilege, to break in and steal the key.

In the RSA public key cryptography system, a user ( $U$ ) generates two related keys, one is revealed to the public, deemed the "public" key, to be used to encrypt any data to be sent to  $U$ . The second key is private to  $U$ , called the "private" key, and is used to decrypt any data received at  $U$ ,

which was encrypted with the corresponding public key. The RSA cryptography system generates large random primes and multiplies them to obtain the public key. It also uses a complex encryption function such as mod and exponential operations. As a result, this technique is unbreakable in the lifetime of a human being for large keys, e.g., higher than 256 bits, and also eliminates the problem of the insecure exchange of symmetric keys, as in a DES system. However, the huge computational time required by RSA encryption and decryption, in addition to the time required to generate the keys, is not appealing to the Internet user community. Thus, RSA cryptography is mainly used as "one shot" solid protection of the symmetric cryptography key exchange.

In the RSA public key system, if a first user ( $U_A$ ) requests a secure communication with a second user ( $U_B$ ), the latter will generate a pair of encryption keys: public  $E_B$  and private  $D_B$ . An internal super user spy ( $S$ ), with a helper ( $H$ ) intruding on the communication line externally, can easily generate its own pair of keys, a public  $E_S$  and private  $D_S$ , and pass  $D_S$  and  $E_B$  to  $H$ . Then  $S$  can replace the public key  $E_B$  with its own public key  $E_S$ . Thus, all data moving from  $U_A$  to  $U_B$  will be encrypted using  $E_S$  instead of  $E_B$ . Now  $H$  can decrypt the cipher text moving between  $U_A$  and  $U_B$  using the private key  $D_S$ , store it, and re-encrypt it using the original  $E_B$ , in order for  $U_B$  to receive and decrypt it without any knowledge of the break that occurred in the middle. Such an attack is typically called the "super-user-in-the-middle" attack.

Even though they are secure against outsider attack, both the symmetric and public key cryptography systems are still vulnerable to insider attacks. By obtaining the key at any time of a secure session, an intruder can decipher the entire exchanged data set, past and future. Further, a super user can easily steal a static symmetric key and send it to an outside intruder to sniff and decrypt the cipher text, particularly in the DES and AES systems.

A common way to protect a static encryption key is to save it under a file with restricted access. This restriction is inadequate, however, to prevent a person with a super-user privilege from accessing the static key in the host file. Even when keys are changed for each communication session, for example in the Diffie-Huffman system, an adequate time window exists for the super-user to obtain the semi-static key. In most crypto systems, once the key is found the previous and future communicated data are no longer secure.

Various other attempts have been made to circumvent intrusion by outside users through encryption of communicated data. Examples of such methods include that described in U.S. Patent

No. 6,105,133 to Fielder, et al., entitled, "Bilateral Authentication and Encryption System;" U.S. Patent No. 6,049,612 also to Fielder, et al., entitled, "File Encryption Method and System;" and U.S. Patent No. 6,070,198 to Krause, et al., entitled, "Encryption with a Streams-Based Protocol Stack." While the techniques described in these patents may be useful in preventing unwanted intrusion by outsiders, they are still prone to attack by the super-user-in-the-middle.

The present method and system for information security alleviates the problems encountered in the prior art, providing continuous encryption key modification. A new key is generated from a previous key as well as from a previously encrypted data record. The regenerated key is then used to encrypt the subsequent data record. The key lifetime is equal to the time span of record encryption, reducing the possibility that an intruder will break the key or that a super-user will copy the key. The method and system for information security also alleviates the super-user-in-the-middle attack. An intruder must obtain an entire set of keys, at the right moment, without being noticed, in order to decrypt the entire ciphered message.

The method and system for information security reduces computational overhead by breaking the complexity of the encryption function and shifting it over the dynamics of data exchange. A shuffling mechanism based on a dynamic permutation table, which is generated from the current session key, coupled with one or more logic or arithmetic operations, strengthens the encryption function. Encryption is fully automated and all parties, the source user, destination user, and central authority, are clock-free synchronized, and securely authenticated at all times. The method and system for information security is deployable at any level of a system, as there is complete synchronization between system nodes.

The method and system for information security further eliminates the possibility of an intruder obtaining additional keys, and therefore additional data, in the rare circumstance where an intruder is able to break one of the keys. A previously encrypted data record is combined with a previous key to create a new key for encrypting a subsequent data record. Hence, if an intruder were to break one key, the intruder could only decrypt its corresponding data record and nothing more, past or future ciphered data.

### SUMMARY

The present invention is a system and a method of providing secure information. Encryption keys are regenerated with an encryption key, encrypted data, and a hash vector based upon an encryption key.

5

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The accompanying drawings, which are incorporated into and form a part of the specification, illustrate the method and system for information security and, together with the description, serve to explain the principles of the invention. The drawings are not to be construed as limiting the method and system.

10

Fig. 1a is a diagrammatic overview of a central authority (CA) generating daemons to manage users' dynamic authentication keys (DAKs);

Fig. 1b is a diagrammatic overview of secure communication between users;

Fig. 2 is a diagrammatic illustration of a user registration request to a CA;

15

Fig. 3a is a diagrammatic illustration of the formation of an auxiliary static key (K) using a DAK and previous DSK;

Fig. 3b is a diagrammatic illustration of the formation of an auxiliary static key (K) using an initial DAK;

Fig. 4 is a diagrammatic illustration of a DAK regeneration method;

20

Fig. 5 is a diagrammatic overview of synchronization and authentication between users and a CA, and initial generation of a DSK by a CA;

Fig. 6 is a diagrammatic illustration detailing the method of Fig. 5;

Fig. 7 is a diagrammatic illustration of synchronization of DAKs between a CA and a user;

Fig. 8a is a diagrammatic illustration of a method whereby a CA authenticates a user;

25

Fig. 8b is a diagrammatic illustration of a method whereby a user authenticates a CA;

Fig. 9a is a diagrammatic illustration of a method whereby a CA freezes and resumes regeneration of users' DAKs upon occurrence of a CA shutdown event;

Fig. 9b is a diagrammatic illustration of a method whereby a user freezes and resumes regeneration of its DAK upon occurrence of a user shutdown event;

Fig. 10 is a diagrammatic illustration of secure communication establishment at a source user node;

Fig. 11 is a diagrammatic illustration of a dynamic encryption utilizing a permutation vector;

5 Fig. 12 is a diagrammatic illustration of secure communication establishment at a destination user node;

Fig. 13 is a diagrammatic illustration of a dynamic decryption utilizing a permutation vector;

Fig. 14 is a diagrammatic illustration of a user handshaking method with a CA;

Fig. 15a is a diagrammatic illustration of a *DSK* regeneration method;

10 Fig. 15b is a diagrammatic illustration of the *DSK* regeneration method of Fig. 15a demonstrating utilization of previously encrypted data records from different time interval blocks in the regeneration process;

Fig. 16 is a diagrammatic illustration of *DSK* regeneration utilizing a permutation vector, a previous *DSK*, data record, and cipher data record;

15 Fig. 17a is a diagrammatic illustration of a method to validate data integrity of R data records at a source node; and

Fig. 17b is a diagrammatic illustration of a method to validate data integrity of R data records at a destination node.

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

20 The method and system for information security provides dynamic symmetric key encryption for network security. The method and system is implemented between end-user (*U*) sites, or "nodes", and central authentication authority (*CA*) sites, or "nodes", for user authentication purposes, and between end-user nodes for secure exchange of data. The users and *CA* reside at their respective nodes, which can be but are not limited to programmable apparatuses or communication  
25 devices, such as computers, telephones, mobile communication devices, handheld communication devices and the like. The users and *CA* are in communication, for example via a computer or telecommunication network. Communicated data flows over a data stream between the user and *CA* nodes. The data stream flows over transmission means, which can include but is not limited to electrical signals carried by electrically conductive wires, radio signals, and infrared signals.  
30 Computer-readable memory provides storage for the data, dynamically changing keys, and other

variables, as needed to allow for the regeneration of subsequent dynamic keys, and to carry out other necessary processes. Means are provided on or in communication with the programmable apparatuses or communication devices for performing all of the various methods involved in the dynamic encryption method, including regenerating keys and encrypting data. Such means include  
5 primarily computer-readable means, such as software, and the necessary related hardware.

The encryption method and system for information security distributes the complexity of the encryption algorithm over the dynamics of data exchange, involving previously encrypted data as well as a previous key in the process of regenerating a new "dynamic" encryption key. Thus, there is a newly generated key for the encryption of every data record, yielding very low correlation between  
10 the cipher, or encrypted data, and the plain data. There are no static keys, public or private, that are susceptible to a security breach. In order to guarantee security, the encryption method is preferably deployed in a system of registered end-users with a CA, whereby the CA maintains user connections' authentication and secures distribution of symmetric encryption session keys.

The method and system for information security employs two types of dynamic keys, namely  
15 dynamic authentication keys (*DAKs*) and dynamic session keys (*DSKs*). The former is used to mutually authenticate users and CAs; it is continuously regenerated throughout the existence of the user and the CA. The latter exists when the need arises for a secure data exchange session between users; its regeneration is maintained only through the life cycle of such a session. The placement of the initial *DSK* at users' nodes is securely carried out by the CA, and encrypted using  
20 the users' *DAKs*. The CA maintains an array of *DAKs*, one per user.

In order to maintain high level of security, only a hash vector, which is based on the key (*Hash\_DAK\_Vec* or *Hash\_DSK\_Vec*), is deployed directly in the encryption process. One implementation of the hash vector considers a permutation vector (*PV*) of  $m$  bytes, set initially to be (1, 2, ...,  $m$ ). Then each time a new dynamic key is regenerated, the *PV* is hashed based on that  
25 dynamic key, *DAK* or *DSK*.

The method and system for information security further employs an auxiliary static key  $K$ , which is formed based on the *DSK* and the *DAK*, given that the user has established a session; otherwise, it is formed from the initial *DAK* only. This static key is continuously involved in the regeneration of the *DAK*. The auxiliary static key adds another dimension of security to the process  
30 against insider attacks, as it involves more dynamics to the regeneration of the *DAK* by allowing the

contribution of the *DSK* to the process, every new communication session between users. The static nature of *K* is not exploited by the attacker because its exploitation does not lead to any important information; its relation to the *DSK* and the *DAK* is not reversible, i.e., *K* is manufactured from *DSK* and *DAK*, yet neither *DSK* nor *DAK* can be obtained from *K*.

5       The major role of the *CA* is to maintain the registered users' *DAK* generation and the secure delivery of symmetric *DSKs* between the users. The *CA* also authenticates the source user to the destination user and vice versa, while authenticating itself to both users. Unless a user is pre-registered with the *CA*, it is nearly impossible for an intruder to have the same synchronized dynamic key with the *CA*, as a registered user. The only explicit user identity verification is carried out once,  
10   at the time the user first registers with the *CA*. Any consequent authentication is implicitly processed, without the need to exchange any plain keys, which would require a secure channel. The authentication method involves the three parties to any connection: source user, destination user, and *CA*, authenticating each other via their corresponding synchronized dynamic key.

Referring to Fig. 1a, a diagrammatic overview of a *CA* generating daemons to manage users'  
15   *DAKs* in accordance with the method and system for information security is shown. Registration of trusted users, users that have for example, provided a valid certificate of authentication or who are referred by a previously-registered third party user, occurs over a secure channel, for example, using RSA encryption, where the *CA* provides the user with an initial *DAK*.

Referring to Fig. 1b, a diagrammatic overview of user communication encrypted with a *DSK*  
20   initially generated and sent by a *CA* is shown. Upon any user's connection request, a communication child process is forked at the *CA*, as well as at the user node. Each child process runs on the behalf of its parent during the whole communication session in order to avoid disturbance of the *DAK* generation process in the event of synchronization or authentication failure, for example, due to a false connection request, hardware failure, etc.

25       The *CA* is responsible for secure user authentication and generation of the initial trusted user dynamic authentication key, *DAK*. Every user must register with the *CA* in order to obtain its initial *DAK*, which is exchanged via a secure channel, e.g., using RSA. Upon the initiation of any new user's secure data exchange session, both end-users and the *CA* freeze their *DAK* generation and synchronize by establishing the same dynamic change. After the user and the *CA* are synchronized  
30   (their *DAKs* are aligned), they both yield the same randomly generated *DAK* at both nodes. This *DAK*

is used in the authentication method as the encryption and decryption key, because it is maintained only by the CA and the user.

Referring to Fig. 2, a diagram further illustrates the user registration method with a CA. The user starts the registration process by sending a request **10**, effectively requesting the generation of an initial value of its *DAK*, to the CA including authenticated documentation of its identity and purpose of registration, i.e. revealing it is a trusted user. Upon approval of the user's credentials by the CA, the CA starts a daemon related to the requesting user, and randomly selects an initial *DAK*, sending a copy to the user via a secure channel **12**, for example, using the well-known RSA technique where the CA uses the user's public key to encrypt the newly generated *DAK*. Then the CA starts a daemon that permanently regenerates the *DAK*. Upon the reception of the initial *DAK*, the user starts a daemon in order to permanently regenerate the *DAK*. From that point forward, the user and CA randomly regenerate the next *DAK* every  $\delta t$  period, **14**, **15**, based on the previous generated *DAK* and the auxiliary static key *K*. The user and CA also maintain a number-regeneration-counter (*NRC*). This method of regenerating new *DAKs* is depicted in greater detail in Figs. 3, 4a, and 4b.

Fig. 3a illustrates a method of forming auxiliary static key *K* **200**. *K* is formed using the CA-user aligned *DAK* **202**, *DSK* **204**, and *PV* **206**, where  $DAK[j]$  represents the  $j^{th}$  byte of the dynamic authentication key,  $DSK[j]$  represents the  $j^{th}$  byte of the last dynamic session key,  $K[j]$  represents the  $j^{th}$  byte of the auxiliary static key *K*, and  $PV[j]$  represents the  $j^{th}$  byte of the initial permutation vector (i.e.,  $PV[j]=j$ ), for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Each static key *K* **200** is created using the CA-user aligned *DAK* **202** and the last user session *DSK* **204**. The new  $j^{th}$  byte of the auxiliary key *K* **200** is generated as follows:

$$K[j] = (DAK[j] + DSK[j] + PV[j]) \bmod 256.$$

Then, *PV* is hashed **208** using the *DAK* in order to be used in encryption and the next *DAK* regeneration. In the hashing process, *DAK* bytes are scanned from index 1 to index *m*, using each entry's index and its associated entry value, as indices of two bytes in the permutation vector to be swapped. The permutation is performed as follows: permute  $PV[j]$  with  $PV[DAK[j]]$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , which results in a "new" permutation vector *PV* **206**. This operation is performed at both the source and destination user nodes. This method is used to form *K* **200** when a user communication session is taking place and *DSKs* are being regenerated.

Fig. 3b illustrates the formation of  $K$ , **210** using two copies of an initial CA-user aligned  $DAK$ , **212, 214**. This method is used to form  $K$  **210** when a communication session is not taking place and a user  $DSK$  is absent. Thus, the methodology of Fig. 3b follows the same pattern as described with reference to Fig. 3a, except that the non-existing  $DSK$  is replaced by another copy of the initial  $DAK$ .

Continuing on to Fig. 4, a diagram illustrates continuous  $DAK$  regeneration, where  $DAK[j]$  **216** represents the  $j^{th}$  byte of the dynamic authentication key,  $K[j]$  **218** represents the  $j^{th}$  byte of the auxiliary static key, generated according to Fig. 3a or 3b, and  $PV[j]$  **220** represents the  $j^{th}$  byte of the permutation vector (set initially to  $j$ , i.e.,  $PV[j]=j$ ), for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ .

The new  $j^{th}$  byte of the  $DAK$  **222** is generated as follows:  $DAK[j] = (DAK[j] + K[j] + PV[j]) \bmod 256$ . Then, the old  $PV$  is hashed **224** using the  $DAK$  in order to be used in encryption and the next  $DAK$  regeneration.  $PV$  is hashed based on  $DAK$ , generating  $hash\_DAK\_Vec$ . In the hashing process, the  $DAK$  bytes are scanned from index 1 to index  $m$ , using each entry's index and associated entry value, as indices of two bytes in the permutation vector to be swapped. The permutation is performed as follows: permute  $PV[j]$  with  $PV[DAK[j]]$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , which results in a "new" permutation vector  $PV$  **220**.

It will be understood by those of skill in the art that alternative logic operations can be substituted for the addition operation in Figs. 3, 4a, and 4b, as well as in Figs. 15a, 15b, and 16 described further below.

The operations depicted in Figs. 3a, 3b, and 4 are performed at both the user and the CA nodes. In order to maintain synchronization control, a number-regeneration-count for the dynamic authentication key ( $DAK\_NRC$ ) is maintained and incremented after each  $DAK$  regeneration. This method can be performed periodically or aperiodically with mutual consent of CA and user.

Turning to Fig. 5, a diagrammatic overview of synchronization and authentication between users and a CA, and initial generation of a  $DSK$  by a CA is shown.  $DAK$  regeneration starts at both the user and the CA nodes, upon user registration, where each generates the same sequence of random  $DAK$ s, with the initial  $DAK$  as a seed, even after the user-CA connection is terminated. Thus, key exchange between users, as well as between users and the CA, is minimized to maintain a high level of security. Users and the CA instead remain synchronized at all times with respect to  $DAK$  regeneration. When the user-CA connection is terminated after initial request for user registration with the CA, synchronized  $DAK$  regeneration continues off-line. Permanent regeneration

of the *DAK* is maintained via a daemon running at each registered user, and a corresponding daemon running at the *CA*.

With continuing reference to the center portion of the diagram of Fig. 5, in order to establish a connection between a source user  $U_s$  and a destination user  $U_d$ ,  $U_s$ 's *DAK* regeneration daemon forks a child communication process  $U_s\_COM$ , which freezes its version of the *DAK* and its *NRC*, and sends a connection request to the *CA* including synchronization information. Upon the reception of such request, the *CA*'s communication server forks a communication child process  $CA\_COM$ , which will notify  $U_d$  of the connection with  $U_s$ . Upon the acceptance by  $U_d$  to the connection,  $U_d$ 's *DAK* regeneration daemon forks a child communication process  $U_d\_COM$ , which freezes its version of the *DAK* and its *NRC*, and sends synchronization information back to the  $CA\_COM$ . Then, the  $CA\_COM$  snapshots both users' *DAKs/NRCs* from their corresponding *CA*'s *DAK* daemons, and starts the synchronization and authentication processes with both users' communication child processes.

Upon successful mutual synchronization and authentication involving the three session parties, the  $CA\_COM$  generates a random dynamic session key *DSK* and encrypts it using the aligned *Hash\_DAK\_Vec* of each user, and sends it to both users. After receiving the encrypted *DSK*, each of the two users' child communication process decrypts the *DSK* using its respective aligned *Hash\_DAK\_Vec*, and starts a secure communication session with the other child communication process, via the decrypted *DSK*.

In the event of failure, the child processes are terminated without interruption to the parent processes and/or daemons. This feature provides protection against a "synchronization disturbance" attack. In such an attack, an intruder imitating a registered user or a *CA* might force the three *DAK* number-regeneration-counters (*NRCs*) to freeze, which will create a chaotic state, but only in the child processes. The counters are continuously counting, i.e., *DAKs* are continuously regenerated in the daemons without stopping, except when rebooting. The child processes snapshot, or "freeze", the *DAK/NRC* for use in the synchronization, authentication, and secure *DSK* exchange. Thus, the continuously running *DAK* daemons are unaffected in the parent processes in the event of a failure to establish a connection, or in the event of a synchronization disturbance.

However, in the event of successful synchronization and authentication, the child processes at the users' nodes return the aligned *DAK* and the newly generated *DSK* to their respective parent

daemons in order to initialize a new state for *DAK* regeneration, forcing the daemon to discard the current value of *DAK* and *DSK* (if it exists), and consider the newly returned versions in the *DAK* regeneration process. Also, the *CA\_COM* return the two aligned *DAK*s and the newly generated *DSK* to their respective *DAK* daemons at the *CA* in order to initialize a new state for *DAK*

5 regeneration, forcing both local users' daemons to discard their current *DAK* and *DSK* (if it exists) values, and consider the newly returned versions in the *DAK* regeneration process. Then, the *CA\_COM* terminates successfully, whereas the *U<sub>s</sub>\_COM* and *U<sub>d</sub>\_COM* start a secure communication.

Referring to Fig. 6, a diagrammatic overview of synchronization, authentication, and generation  
10 of a *DSK* by a *CA* in response to a request from a source user (*U<sub>s</sub>*) to communicate with a destination user (*U<sub>d</sub>*) is provided. (See also Fig. 5.) Source user *U<sub>s</sub>* requests a *DSK* generation from *CA* to communicate with destination user *U<sub>d</sub>*, and sends its frozen *DAK\_NRC* along with its hashed value  $h(\text{DAK\_NRC})$ , encrypted with the current static key *K*, namely  $E_K(\text{DAK\_NRC}, h(\text{DAK\_NRC}))$ 16. The *h* function, which could be any known hash function such as MD5 and SHA1,  
15 guarantees the integrity of the communicated *DAK\_NRC*. If the received  $h(\text{DAK\_NRC})$  is different from the calculated hash value of the received *DAK\_NRC*, i.e.,  $h(\text{DAK\_NRC})$ , then the communication is aborted due to possible denial-of-service attack. Otherwise, the *CA* forks a *CA\_COM*, which snapshots the two users *DAK*s, namely *CA\_DAK[U<sub>s</sub>]* and *CA\_DAK[U<sub>d</sub>]*, and requests *U<sub>d</sub>* to send its *DAK\_NRC*, 18. This request notifies *U<sub>d</sub>* that *U<sub>s</sub>* is trying to establish a secure  
20 communication with it. Once the *CA* has received the *U<sub>d</sub> DAK\_NRC*, 20, the synchronization process is initiated, 22.

Synchronization ensures that the *CA* has *DAK* values identical to the users' *DAK* values, despite message propagation delay. The *CA* ensures that its locally snapshot *DAK* for *U<sub>s</sub>* and the corresponding frozen *DAK* at *U<sub>s</sub>*'s node are aligned, and also ensures that its locally snapshot *DAK*  
25 for *U<sub>d</sub>* and the corresponding frozen *DAK* at *U<sub>d</sub>*'s node, are aligned. To compensate for propagation delay and align the corresponding *CA*'s *DAK* with each of the parties' *DAK*s, the difference (*x*) in the number of key regeneration counts, *NRC*s, between *CA* and each user, will be considered by the lagging party, which will regenerate its *DAK* an extra *x* times (See Fig. 7.)

If alignment is not achieved with both users, **26**, CA ignores the synchronization effects from the non-synchronized user(s), sends an abort message to both users before killing its communication child process, and cancels the communication due to lack of synchronization.

In the event of successful synchronization with both users, **24**, the CA launches an authentication method, **28**, to certify their identity. (Figs. 8a and 8b.) If successful authentication of both users is not achieved, **32**, CA ignores any synchronization effects of the non-authenticated user(s), sends an abort message to both users before killing its communication child process, and cancels the communication due to lack of authentication. If both users are fully authenticated and synchronized with CA, **30**, the CA randomly generates an initial *DSK* and sends it to both users, encrypted with the hash vector of each user's corresponding aligned *DAK*, to begin data exchange, **34**. After the entire process is completed, successful or unsuccessful, the *CA\_COM* terminates and returns its status to the parent process.

Referring to Fig. 7, a diagram illustrates synchronization of *DAKs* between a CA and a user, based on the number-regeneration-count for the *DAK* at each node. Initially, the number-regeneration-count of the *DAK* for user (*U*) at the CA, (*CA\_DAK\_NRC[U]*), is compared to the number-regeneration-count of the *DAK* at the user's node (*U\_DAK\_NRC*), **36**. If the two *NRCs* are equal, then the CA and user are synchronized. If the comparison of the *NRCs* is outside of a predetermined acceptable range, a "failure-to-synchronize" message is reported, **40**. This occurs when  $|CA\_DAK\_NRC[U] - U\_DAK\_NRC|$ , **38**, is larger than a predetermined value, and the communication is terminated.

If the comparison of the *NRCs* is within the predetermined acceptable range, then the lagging party performs a number of *DAK* regenerations equal to the calculated difference in order to synchronize (i.e., align the *DAKs*) with the other party. For example, if the CA *NRC* lags behind that of the user, **42**, then the CA performs  $(U\_DAK\_NRC - CA\_DAK\_NRC[U])$  regenerations of its *DAK* in order to align with that of *U*, **44**. If the user *NRC* lags behind that of the CA, the CA sends a "synchronize" message, **46**, including the calculated *NRC* difference *x* and its hash value  $h(x)$ , encrypted with the auxiliary key in the manner depicted at **16** of Fig. 6), so that the user can perform the appropriate number of regenerations to synchronize with the CA. Once the user performs the regenerations, it signifies that it has done so to the CA, **48**.

Once the parties are synchronized, mutual authentication of *DAKs* is performed to ensure the parties indeed share the same *DAK*. For this purpose, both the user and the CA generate three extra *DAKs* in addition to the aligned one, namely  $Hash\_CA\_DAK[U\_Vec_{1,2,3,4}]$ . Figs. 8a and 8b illustrate the mutual authentication method. Fig. 8a illustrates authentication of a user by a CA, and Fig. 8b illustrates authentication of a CA by a user. The process begins by CA generating a random number, or nonce,  $N$ . CA sends  $E_1(N)$  and  $E_2(N)$  to the user, as part of an “authenticate” message, **50**, where  $E_1(N)$  and  $E_2(N)$  are the encrypted versions of  $N$  using the  $Hash\_CA\_DAK[U\_Vec_1]$  and  $Hash\_CA\_DAK[U\_Vec_2]$ , respectively. The user decrypts  $E_1(N)$  and  $E_2(N)$  using its frozen  $Hash\_DAK\_Vec_1$  and  $Hash\_DAK\_Vec_2$ , respectively, **64** and verifies that  $D_1(E_1(N)) = D_2(E_2(N))$ , **66**. If they are equal, the user thus authenticates the CA, **68**, otherwise, the user failed to authenticate the CA and the connection is aborted, **70**.

When the user has successfully authenticated the CA, **68**, the user encrypts another nonce  $N'$  with its  $Hash\_DAK\_Vec_3$  and  $Hash\_DAK\_Vec_4$ ,  $(E_3(N'), E_4(N'))$ , and sends the pair back to the CA, as part of the authentication acknowledgment message to complete the authentication process, **68**.

Upon receiving the authentication acknowledgment back from the user, **52**, CA decrypts the received ciphered numbers, again using  $Hash\_CA\_DAK[U\_Vec_3]$  and  $Hash\_DAK\_Vec_4$ , **54** and compares the two decrypted values, **56**. If they are not equal, the CA reports a failure to authenticate the user, **60**, and aborts the establishment of a connection, otherwise the user is successfully authenticated by the CA, i.e., has been registered with the CA, **58**. The CA performs the same mutual authentication method with the second user before user-to-user communication takes place. When all parties have been mutually authenticated, CA proceeds to *DSK* generation, **62**, the last step of Fig. 6.

As systems are prone to unpredicted shutdown events, such as but not limited to power loss, the method and system for information security automatically freezes and resumes key regeneration upon occurrence of such events. Referring to Fig. 9a, a diagram illustrating freezing and resuming regeneration of *DAKs* when a CA experiences a shutdown event, is shown. Fig. 9b illustrates freezing and resuming regeneration of *DAKs* when a user experiences a shutdown event. Referring to Fig. 9a, a CA is shown to experience a shutdown event, **72**. The CA immediately sends a “freeze-*DAK*-regenerating” message to all previously registered users, **74**, as part of its shutdown handler routine. Meanwhile, the CA saves all users' *DAKs* into a temporary file, **76**. After shutting down, **78**, for a time period  $\tau$ , the CA reboots and reloads all the previously saved *DAKs*. The CA then requests

the *DAK\_NRC* from all users to ensure validity of the current *DAKs*, **80**. Then, the *CA* starts the synchronization process, **82** as described with respect to Fig. 7. The *CA* then initiates the mutual authentication process with all successfully synchronized users, **84**. (Figs. 8a and 8b.) Finally, the *CA* sends a “resume-*DAK*-regenerating” message to its registered and successfully synchronized and authenticated users, in order to resume realigned regeneration of the *DAKs*, **85**. A similar method is performed by the user in the event of a user’s system shutdown, as depicted in Fig. 9b.

After a user is registered with a *CA*, the user may request a secure communication with another user. Referring to Fig. 10, a diagram illustrates secure communication establishment at the source user ( $U_s$ ) node. The source user first determines whether it is registered to a *CA*, **86**. If not, the user performs the registration procedure and receives its initial *DAK* via a common secure channel, **88**. (See also Fig. 2.) Then, the source user’s *DAK* daemon forks a child communication process  $U_s\_COM$ , **90**, which freezes its *DAK* generation and runs on behalf of the user until the end of the users’ session communication.  $U_s\_COM$  requests a secure connection establishment with the destination user ( $U_d$ ) from the *CA*, and sends its frozen *DAK\_NRC* for synchronization purposes. In the event of successful handshaking with the *CA*, **92** (see also Fig. 14), the source user receives an initial dynamic session key *DSK*, from the *CA*, **94**.

The  $U_s-U_d$  data exchange session uses the shared symmetric *DSK* sent by the *CA* to both users. However, to increase the level of dynamic encryption to  $n$  crypto parallel streams, a set of  $n$  updated *DSKs* is derived randomly from the initial *DSK*, used as a seed, sent by *CA*. The source user message is stepped through  $n$  records, or a “block”, at a time, and the first  $n$  *DSKs* generated are used to encrypt the first block of  $n$  records. After that,  $n$  *DSKs* are regenerated for each consecutive block as a function of previously generated *DSKs* and previously encrypted data records.

This process is depicted in Fig. 10 after the source user has had successful handshaking, **94**. The source user first generates randomly  $n$  different *DSKs* ( $DSK_i$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), of the same size as the initial *DSK*, **96**. Then,  $n$  data records of the same size as the size of *DSK* ( $Record_i$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) are extracted from the input data, **98**, and encrypted **100**. See also Fig. 11. Next, for every  $Record_i$  a new  $DSK_i$  is regenerated, **102**, which is depicted in greater detail in Figs. 15a and 15b below on a byte-by-byte basis. Finally, the  $n$  ciphered records are transmitted to  $U_d$ , **104**. The encryption method described at **100** of Fig. 10 is illustrated in greater detail in Fig. 11.

Turning to Fig. 11, a diagram illustrates the encryption method described at 100 of Fig. 10. In Fig. 11,  $D_i[j]$  is the  $j^{\text{th}}$  byte of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  data record,  $C_i[j]$  is the  $j^{\text{th}}$  byte of the produced  $i^{\text{th}}$  cipher record,  $PV_i[j]$  represents the  $j^{\text{th}}$  byte of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  permutation vector (set initially to  $j$ , i.e.,  $PV_i[j]=j$ ),  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , for  $n$  records, each of which is of  $m$  bytes.

5        The data record  $D_i$  and the  $PV_i$  are used to produce cipher record  $C_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , producing  $n$  cipher records. The encryption method uses a simple XOR logic operation as an encryption function between a  $PV_i$  and its corresponding data record  $D_i$ . The data byte  $D_i[j]$ , 146, is XORed with the corresponding key byte  $PV_i[j]$ , 148, which results in the final cipher record  $C_i$ , 156. After  $n$  data records are encrypted with  $n$   $PV$ s as aforementioned, the final cipher block, made up of  $n$  cipher  
10        records ( $C_i$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), is available for transmission, and a set of  $n$  new  $DSK$ s and  $PV$ s are regenerated for encryption of the next data block, as discussed with reference to Figs. 15a and 15b.

Returning to Fig. 10, the final cipher block is transmitted to  $U_d$ , 104 after having generated the  $n$  updated  $DSK$ s, 102, for encrypting the next data block of  $n$  records. The method of data encryption and transmission is repeated, 106, until the entire data volume has been encrypted and transmitted.

15        The decryption method is depicted in Fig. 12 at the destination side,  $U_d$ . Initially,  $U_d$  receives a communication request from the CA, 108. Then the  $U_d$  DAK daemon forks a child communication process  $U_d\_COM$  in order to freeze the generation of its version of DAK and sends the  $DAK\_NRC$  to the CA for synchronization purposes, 110.  $U_d\_COM$  will run on behalf of the user until the end of the users' session communication. In the event of successful handshaking with the CA, 112 (see also  
20        Fig. 14), the destination user receives an initial dynamic session key  $DSK$ , from the CA, 114.

After successful handshaking,  $U_d$  uses the initial  $DSK$  to randomly generate  $n$   $DSK$ s ( $DSK^t_i$ ;  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) of the same size as the initial  $DSK$  (used as a seed), 116. Beginning with the same initial  $DSK$  at both  $U_s$  and  $U_d$  nodes,  $U_d$  randomly derives the same set of  $n$  regenerated  $DSK$ s as the source user  $U_s$ , and parallels the encryption method described at the source user side in reverse, to decrypt  
25        the transmitted data.  $U_d$  receives a block of  $n$  cipher records ( $Cipher_i$ ;  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) 118. All cipher records are decrypted using each record's corresponding  $Hash\_DSK\_Vec$ , 120. Concatenation of the decrypted records provides the original message data to the destination user, 122.  $U_d$  then regenerates new  $DSK$ s and  $Hash\_DSK\_Vec$ s from the recovered block of data records and the current  $DSK$ s and  $Hash\_DSK\_Vec$ s to be used for decryption of the next block of cipher records,

124, as illustrated in Figs. 15a and 15b. The process is repeated, 126, until all the transmitted cipher data has been decrypted.

Referring to Fig. 13, a diagram illustrates the decryption method at 120 of Fig. 12 in greater detail. The decryption method starts by performing the XOR operation on  $C_i[j]$ , 164 and the  
5 corresponding  $PV_i[j]$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , 166. This results in the original data record  $D_i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , 168. The process continues as shown in Fig. 12, at 122.

It will be understood by those of skill in the art one or more alternative logic operations can be substituted for the XOR logic operation depicted in Figs. 11 and 13 in accordance with the principles of the method and system for information security.

10 As discussed in Figs. 10 and 12, upon request for a secure communication, both the source user and destination user must accomplish a successful handshaking process with the CA. Fig. 14 illustrates a user handshaking method with the CA. Upon receiving a message from the CA, 130, the user responds accordingly, 132. If an "abort" message is received, then the user terminates the communication child process in charge of the connection, 144. If authentication is requested, 138,  
15 the user mutually authenticates with the CA as described in Figs. 8a and 8b. If a "synchronize" message is received including a number ( $x$ ), the user performs  $x$  regenerations of its  $DAK$ , in order to be synchronized with the CA, i.e., aligning to the same  $DAK$  134, as described in Fig. 7. An acknowledgment message is then sent back to the CA, 136.

After either synchronization or authentication, the user waits for a "session key" message  
20 including an encrypted  $DSK$ , ( $E(DSK)$ ). The encrypted  $DSK$  is decrypted, 140. Then, the communication child process returns the aligned  $DAK$  and the new  $DSK$  to its parent daemon in order to initialize a new state for the  $DAK$  regeneration state, 141, and the communication between the source and destination is securely established, 142. The process continues at 96 of Fig. 10 and 116 of Fig. 12.

25 Attention is now turned to Figs. 15a and 15b, where regeneration of  $n$   $DSK$ s and  $n$   $Hash\_DSK\_Vecs$ , or  $n$   $PVs$ , is shown. Fig. 15a is a diagram illustrating the dynamic session key ( $DSK$ ) regeneration method of Fig. 10, 102, and Fig. 12, 124. Fig. 15b is a diagram illustrating  $n$  "tracks" or streams of parallel  $DSK$  regeneration for a plurality of data record blocks. Each block of data records includes those data records encrypted within a predefined time increment ( $T$ ). Fig. 15b  
30 illustrates blocks of data records from the range of time increments of  $T=1$  through  $T=t+1$ . A

“track” is defined as those data records in alignment within their respective data blocks, hence a track consists of a vertical column of data records as depicted in Fig. 15b.

In Fig. 15a  $DSK_i^t[j]$  224 represents the  $j^{th}$  byte of the last  $i^{th}$  dynamic session key at time  $t$ ,  $D^{t\_old}_i[j]$  226 represents the  $j^{th}$  byte of the last  $i^{th}$  data record at time “ $t\_old$ ”, where “ $t\_old$ ” represents a time prior to time  $t$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . First,  $PV_i^t$  226 is hashed 228 using  $DSK_i^t$ , generating  $Hash\_DSK\_Vec$ , namely  $PV_i^{t+1}$ . In the hashing process, the  $DSK_i^t$  bytes are scanned from index 1 to index  $m$ , using each entry's index and its associated entry value, as indices of two bytes in the permutation vector to be swapped. The permutation is performed as follows: permute  $PV_i^t[j]$  with  $PV_i^t[DSK_i^t[j]]$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , which results in a “new” permutation vector  $PV_i^{t+1}$  230. Then, a data record  $D^{t\_old}_i$  226 is selected randomly from the previously encrypted data records  $\{D_i^1, D_i^2, \dots, D_i^{t-1}\}$  in the  $i^{th}$  track. (See also Fig. 15b.) This is accomplished by randomly selecting the index  $t\_old$  from the range of  $[1, t-1]$  using a predetermined byte of the current key  $DSK_i^t[j]$  as the seed of random generation. For example, the first byte of the current key,  $DSK_i^t[1]$ , may be used as the seed of random generation. However, when generating  $DSK_i^2$ , the only available data record is  $D_i^1$ , therefore,  $t\_old = 1$ . Once the index  $t\_old$  is selected from the range  $[1, t-1]$ , the corresponding previously encrypted data record  $D^{t\_old}_i$  226 and the permutation vector  $PV_i^{t+1}$  230 are added with the  $DSK_i^t$  224 in order to get the new  $DSK_i^{t+1}$  232, where  $DSK_i^{t+1}[j] = (DSK_i^t[j] + D^{t\_old}_i[j] + PV_i^{t+1}[j]) \bmod 256$ .

Referring to Fig. 15b, where “RN” represents a random selection function and “RF” represents dynamic key regeneration as depicted in Fig. 15a, the initial  $DSK$  is first received from the CA in order to start a secure source-destination communication with  $n$  tracks of parallel encryption, each track having its own stream of  $DSK$ s. The initial  $DSK$  received from the CA is used to randomly generate the initial  $n$   $DSK$ s, one for each of the  $n$  tracks of data records,  $(D_1^1, D_2^1, \dots, D_n^1)$ , where  $D_i^t$  represents the data record of the  $i^{th}$  track at time  $t$ . Let  $PV_i^t$  and  $DSK_i^t$  represent the PV and DSK of the  $i^{th}$  track at time  $t$ , respectively. (See also Fig. 10, 96 and Fig. 12, 116.) At time  $t+1$ , the  $DSK_i^{t+1}$  is regenerated based on  $DSK_i^t$ ,  $PV_i^t$ , and a randomly selected data record from the previously encrypted data record set  $\{D_i^1, D_i^2, \dots, D_i^{t-1}\}$  in the  $i^{th}$  track. The data record is randomly selected by randomly selecting the index  $t\_old$  from the range of  $[1, t-1]$  using a predetermined byte of the current key  $DSK_i^t[j]$  as the seed of random generation.

A randomly selected encrypted data record from the data record set  $\{D_i^1, D_i^2, \dots, D_i^{t-1}\}$  is used in the regeneration of  $DSK_i^{t+1}$  to render the latter obsolete in the event that a *DSK* is compromised by unauthorized intrusion. In the unlikely event that  $DSK_i^{t+1}$ , in the  $i^{th}$  track, is obtained by an intruder, then the intruder can only obtain the data record  $D_i^{t+1}$  because the intruder has the cipher  $C_i^{t+1}$ . However, the intruder cannot obtain more than that. The intruder must obtain the entire set of previously encrypted data records  $\{D_i^1, D_i^2, \dots, D_i^t\}$  in order to generate  $DSK_i^{t+2}$ , or any successor *DSK*. Hence, breaking one *DSK* will aid only in decrypting its corresponding data record and nothing more, past or future ciphered data.

The *DSK* regeneration depicted in Fig. 15a is modified as shown in Fig. 16 to further protect against data integrity violation and cipher injection attacks. In Fig. 16,  $DSK_i^t[j]$  300 represents the  $j^{th}$  byte of the last  $i^{th}$  dynamic session key at time  $t$ ,  $D_i^{t\_old}[j]$  302 represents the  $j^{th}$  byte of the last  $i^{th}$  data record at time “ $t\_old$ ”,  $C_i^t[j]$  304 represents the  $j^{th}$  byte of the last  $i^{th}$  cipher record at time  $t$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . First,  $PV_i^t$  306 is hashed using  $DSK_i^t$  308 generating  $Hash\_DSK\_Vec$ , namely  $PV_i^{t+1}$ . In the hashing process, the  $DSK_i^t$  bytes are scanned from index 1 to index  $m$ , using each entry's index and its associated entry value, as indices of two bytes in the permutation vector to be swapped. The permutation is performed as follows: permute  $PV_i^t[j]$  with  $PV_i^t[DSK_i^t[j]]$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , which results in a “new” permutation vector  $PV_i^{t+1}$ . Then, a data record  $D_i^{t\_old}$  is selected randomly from the previously encrypted data records  $\{D_i^1, D_i^2, \dots, D_i^{t-1}\}$  in the  $i^{th}$  track. This is accomplished by randomly selecting the index  $t\_old$  from the range of  $[1, t-1]$  using a predetermined byte of the current key  $DSK_i^t[j]$  as the seed of random generation. Then, the corresponding previously encrypted data record  $D_i^{t\_old}$  302, the received cipher record  $C_i$  304, and the permutation vector  $PV_i$  310 are added with the  $DSK_i$  300 to produce the new  $DSK_i^{t+1}$  314, where  $DSK_i^{t+1}[j] = (DSK_i^t[j] + D_i^{t\_old}[j] + PV_i^{t+1}[j] + C_i^t[j]) \bmod 256$  312.

Referring to Fig. 17a, a diagram illustrates a method to validate data integrity of  $R$  data records at a source node. At the beginning of each predefined validation period, the  $DSK_i^{t_0}$  and  $PV_i^{t_0}$ , where  $t_0$  is initialized first with zero, are buffered 316. At this point, all previously sent data records are considered to be valid at the destination node; the source and the destination have the same set of data records, and no intruder violated data integrity or injected extra cipher. After encrypting and sending  $R$  records  $(D_i^{t_0}, D_i^{t_0+1}, \dots, D_i^{t_0+R-1})$ , the source encrypts again the data record  $D_i^{t_0+R-2}$  using  $PV_i^{t_0+R}$  yielding a cipher  $C_{integrity}$ , and sends it to the destination 318. Then the source waits to receive a cipher  $C_{integrity}$  from the destination, in order to verify that all dynamic keys, at the source and the

destination nodes, are synchronized **320**. When  $C_{integrity}$  is received, the source decrypts it using  $PV_i^{t_0+R+1}$  **322** and verifies that the resulting plaintext  $D_{integrity}$  is identical to the last sent data record  $D_i^{t_0+R-1}$  **324**. In case of equality, all the previous R records are considered safely sent. Then,  $t_0$  is incremented by R **326**, and new  $DSK_i^{t_0}$  and  $PV_i^{t_0}$  are buffered in order to start the next validation session. In case of any violation, the source encrypts and retransmits the set  $(D_i^{t_0}, D_i^{t_0+1}, \dots, D_i^{t_0+R-1})$  again to the destination **328**.

Referring to Fig. 17b, a diagram illustrates a method to validate data integrity of R data records at a destination node. At the beginning of each predefined validation period,  $DSK_i^{t_0}$  and  $PV_i^{t_0}$ , where  $t_0$  is initialized first with zero, are buffered **330**. At this point, all the previously received data records are considered to be valid at the destination node; the source and the destination have the same set of data records, and no intruder violated data integrity or injected extra cipher. After buffering R decrypted records  $(D_i^{t_0}, D_i^{t_0+1}, \dots, D_i^{t_0+R-1})$ , the destination encrypts the data record  $D_i^{t_0+R-1}$  using  $PV_i^{t_0+R+1}$  yielding a cipher  $C_{integrity}$ , and sends it back to the source **332**. Then the destination waits to receive a cipher  $C_{integrity}$  from the source, in order to verify that all dynamic keys, at the source and the destination nodes, are synchronized **334**. When  $C_{integrity}$  is received, the destination decrypts it using  $PV_i^{t_0+R}$  **336** and verifies that the resulting plaintext  $D_{integrity}$  is identical to the previously decrypted data record  $D_i^{t_0+R-2}$  **338**. In case of equality, all the previously buffered R records are considered valid. Then,  $t_0$  is incremented by R **340**, and new  $DSK_i^{t_0}$  and  $PV_i^{t_0}$  are buffered in order to start the next validation session. In case of any violation, the destination rejects the last "R" buffered data records, and starts a new validation period, using  $DSK_i^{t_0}$  and  $PV_i^{t_0}$  as initial dynamic keys **342**. The validation session length (R), discussed with reference to Fig 17a and Fig 17b, can be fixed or variable depending on the implementation.

Although the method and system for information security has been described in detail with reference to particular embodiments, other embodiments can achieve the same results. Variations and modifications of the method and system for information security will be obvious to those skilled in the art and it is intended to cover in the appended claims all such modifications and equivalents.